First and Last Name:

2. Correct The Mistake

Determine whether these equations are false, and if so write the correct answer.

(a)
$$\ln\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \ln(x)$$

(b)
$$e^x e^y = e^{xy}$$

(c)
$$\frac{d}{dx}\cos(4x^2) = \sin(4x^2)$$

(d)
$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x e^{-x^2} = \infty$$

Solution:

(a) This is an incorrect application of the rule for adding logarithms. When we add two logarithms with the same base, we obtain a new logarithm whose argument is a product of the arguments of the original two logarithms. The correct calculation is

$$\ln\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$
(1)

(b) This is an incorrect application of the rule for multiplying exponentials. When we multiply two logarithms, we obtain a new exponential whose argument is a sum of the arguments of the original two exponentials. The correct calculation is

$$e^x e^y = e^{x+y}. (2)$$

(c) This calculation has the incorrect derivative for $\cos(u)$ and does not apply the chain rule. The correct calculation is

$$\frac{d}{dx}\cos(4x^2) = -8x\sin(4x^2). \tag{3}$$

(d) A definite integral over an infinite domain is not necessarily infinite. Instead, we need to use u-substitution to evaluate this integral. Given that $\frac{d}{dx}e^{-x^2}/2 = -xe^{-x^2}$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x e^{-x^2} = -\frac{e^{-x^2}}{2} \Big|_0^\infty = \frac{1}{2}.\tag{4}$$

First and Last Name:

3. Probability

We have a large number of atoms in a system. Each atom decays at a rate λ such that after a time t, there is the probability λt for the atom to have decayed. The probability that n atoms have decayed in time t is

$$p_n = \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t},\tag{5}$$

where $n! = n(n-1)\cdots 2\cdot 1$. What is $\langle n \rangle$, the average number of atoms that have decayed in a time t? Hint: The Taylor series of e^x is $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n/n!$.

Solution:

By the definition of average, we find that the average number of atoms that have decayed in a time t is

$$\langle n \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n p_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$= \lambda t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$= \lambda t e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}.$$
(6)

In the third equality, we factored λt from the expression, used n/(n!)=1/(n-1)!, and began our summation from n=1 because the n=0 term vanishes. Using the Taylor series for the exponential, we then find

$$\langle n \rangle = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t} = \lambda t. \tag{7}$$

First and Last Name:	

4. Probability, II

We have a random number generator in which each number from 0 to 999 is equally likely to occur. What is the probability of getting 547?

We change the random number generator so that there is a probability of 1/2 of getting a 9 in the hundreds digit and a probability of $1/2 \times 1/9$ of getting any particular other number (e.g., a probability of 1/18 of getting a 2) in the hundreds digit. The probability distribution for the tens and ones digits remain unchanged. What is the probability of getting 547?

Solution:

If we have a random number generator in which each number from 0 to 999 is equally likely to occur, then the probability of getting 547 is the inverse of the total number of possible numbers. Namely,

$$p_{547} = \frac{1}{1000}. (8)$$

If we have a random number generator in which the probability of getting a 9 in the hundreds digit is 1/2 and the probability of getting any other particular number is $1/2 \times 1/9 = 1/18$, (with the probability distribution of getting other numbers unchanged), the probability of obtaining 547 is

$$p_{547} = \frac{1}{18} \times \frac{1}{10} \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{1800}. (9)$$

First and L	ast Name:	

5. Information

Our friend performs some random trial which yields a random number. We define "information" needed to specify the number as the average number of binary-valued questions that need to be answered to determine the hidden number. Determine the "information" needed to specify the number given that our friend conducted the following random trials

- (a) Selecting a card from a deck of cards with numbers from 1 to 100 (each number occurring once)
- (b) Selecting a card from a deck of cards with numbers from 1 to 100 where even numbers are twice as likely as odd numbers.

Solution:

(a) In Lecture Notes 03 "Entropy and Information", we determined that if there is a probability p_i of getting a number i out of a set of numbers $\{i\}$, then the average number of questions we will need to guess the number (under the given conditions of the "Guess that number" game) is

$$\langle \# \text{ of Qs } \rangle = -\sum_{\{i\}} p_i \log_2 p_i.$$
 (10)

If we have a deck of cards numbered 1 to 100, and we select one card, there is a uniform probability of 1/100 for getting any particular card. Thus the amount of information needed to specify the selected card is

$$\langle \# \text{ of Qs } \rangle = \log_2(100) \approx 6.64.$$
 (11)

(b) If even numbers are twice as likely as odd numbers, then the probability of getting an even number is 2/3 and the probability of getting an odd number is 1/3. Since there are 50 even numbers and 50 odd numbers for a deck of cards from 1 to 100, the probability of getting any particular even number is $2/3 \times 1/50 = 1/75$ and the probability of getting any particular odd number is $1/3 \times 1/50 = 1/150$. From these probabilities, we find that the amount of information needed to specify a drawn card from this deck is

$$\langle \# \text{ of Qs } \rangle = -\sum_{\{i\}} p_i \log_2 p_i$$

$$= -\sum_{\text{even } \# s} \frac{1}{75} \log_2 \frac{1}{75} - \sum_{\text{odd } \# s} \frac{1}{150} \log_2 \frac{1}{150}$$

$$= -\frac{50}{75} \log_2 \frac{1}{75} - \frac{50}{150} \log_2 \frac{1}{150}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \log_2 75 + \frac{1}{3} \log_2 150$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \log_2 (75^2 \times 150) \approx 6.56. \tag{12}$$

First and Last Name:	
riist and Last Name:	

6. Entropy of a Macrostate

We have a spin system with N spins of which n_{\uparrow} spins are pointing up and $N-n_{\uparrow}$ spins are pointing down. What is the entropy of the macrostate characterized by a given n_{\uparrow} , given that each associated microstate is equally likely? Hint: Entropy is k_B times the natural logarithm of the number of microstates for a particular macrostate.

Solution:

For a spin system with N spins of which n_{\uparrow} spins are pointing up and $N-n_{\uparrow}$ spins are pointing down, then the number of microstates associated with this macrostate is

$$\Omega = \binom{N}{n_{\uparrow}}.\tag{13}$$

Each of the microstates with a common N and n_{\uparrow} are equally likely, so the entropy of the macrostate (or set of microstates) with N and $n_{\rm uparrow}$ is

$$S = k_B \ln \Omega = k_B \ln \binom{N}{n_{\uparrow}}. \tag{14}$$

6. Maximization with constraint

The perimeter of a rectangle with side lengths x and y is given P = 2x + 2y. The area of the rectangle is given by A = xy. In terms, of P for what value of x is A maximized? Given this x, what is y? Note: You might be able to guess the answer but I also want you to show it analytically.

Solution:

We will find the maximum area by writing A exclusively as a function of one of the sides of the rectangle and then implementing the local maximization algorithm. First, we note that if P=2x+2y, then

$$y = \frac{1}{2}(P - 2x),\tag{15}$$

where P is the perimeter of the rectangle. The area as an exclusive function of A is then

$$A = xy = \frac{x}{2} (P - 2x). {16}$$

We are now, looking for the value of x, which maximizes A. Namely, the x such that A'(x) = 0 but A''(x) < 0. First computing A'(x), we have

$$A'(x) = \frac{P}{2} - 2x. {(17)}$$

Setting A'(x) = 0, we find that A(x) might have a maximum at x = P/4 and (by Eq.(15)) y = P/4. We affirm the local maximum condition by noting that

$$A''(x) = -2 < 0, (18)$$

Therefore, x = y = P/4, subject to the constraint P = 2x + 2y, indeed maximizes the area A = xy.

First and Last Name:

7. Gaussian integral

Compute the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_N \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i x_i^2\right).$$

Write the final result using the product symbol \prod .

Solution:

To evaluate the given multi-dimensional integral, we first recall the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-\lambda x^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}}.\tag{19}$$

Now, turning the the multi-dimensional integral, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_N \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i x_i^2\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_N \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\lambda_i x_i^2\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_i e^{-\lambda_i x_i^2}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda_i}}$$
(20)

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_N \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i x_i^2\right) = \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{1/2}}$$
(21)

First and Last Name:	
riist and Last Name:	

8. Local Minimum and Exponential Functions

Assume that the function $e^{-g(x)}$ has a local maximum at $x = x_1$. What can we say about the values of the first derivative of g(x) and the second derivative of g(x) both evaluated at $x = x_1$?

Solution: The function $e^{-g(x)}$ is exclusively positive and decreases to zero as the argument of the exponential gets larger. Therefore, if $e^{-g(x)}$ has a maximum, then g(x) must be at a minimum. Namely, for $e^{-g(x)}$ having a local maximum at $x=x_1$, we have

$$g'(x = x_1) = 0$$
, and $g''(x = x_1) > 0$. (22)

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

Resource: Introduction to Statistical Physics Mobolaji Williams

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.