Global Climate Change: Economics, Science and Policy

- Course introduction (again)

- Institutional context of the climate issue
  - Negotiation of a climate regime: the FCCC
    - The search for a global regime
    - What beyond the Kyoto protocol?
  - Analysis and assessment
    - Historical analogy: the CFC-ozone issue
    - The IPCC
Materials

• Readings
  – Packet to purchase, E52 Copy Center ($30)
  – Hand-outs
  – Stellar.mit.edu (syllabus, notes, materials)
  – Material on web (http://globalchange.mit.edu/)
  – Keep an eye on the news!

• Computer needs
  – “Toy” IGSM in the Sloan Computer Lab
  – Excel or other worksheet program
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/11 Institutions</td>
<td>Background/science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19 Climate - I</td>
<td>Economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25 Climate - II</td>
<td>Enviro. economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3 Econ - I</td>
<td>Int’n negotiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10 Econ - II</td>
<td>Integration (Toy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17 Climate - III</td>
<td>Damage/benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26 Holiday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.31 Econ - III</td>
<td>Trading/tax systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/7 Climate - IV</td>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14 Uncertainty analysis</td>
<td>Sea level/storms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21 Holiday</td>
<td>Decision analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/28 Deciding near-term effort</td>
<td>Arctic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/7 Climate - V</td>
<td>Discussion/questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/14 Student presentations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International Environmental Agreements

- Whaling Convention
- Law of the Sea
- Basle Convention (shipment of toxic waste)
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES)
- Vienna Convention and Montreal Protocol
- Biodiversity Treaty
- [Forest Convention]
- Framework Convention on Climate Change
Objective & Difficulties

• Need a regime “architecture”: a unifying structure to guide potential agreement
  – The metaphor
  – Examples in environment, trade, etc.

• Complexities of this commons problem
  – 20 or so rich AND poor countries matter
  – Economic as well as environmental issue
  – Many emissions & land use contribute
  – Continuity over century and more
  – Parties are sovereign nations
Acronyms: International Institutions

- FCCC: Framework Convention on Climate Change
- SBSTA: Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technical Advice (FCCC)
- SBI: Subsidiary Body on Implementation (FCCC)
- AGBM: Ad-Hoc Group on the Berlin Mandate
- COP: Conference of the Parties (FCCC)
- MOP: Members of the (Kyoto) Protocol
- IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- GEF: Global Environmental Facility ($$)
- WMO: World Meteorological Organization
- UNEP: U.N. Environment Program
Acronyms: National Groupings

• Annex I = OECD + Economies in Transition
  – OECD = EU + USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Scandinavia, Austria (rich nations)
  – EIT = Econ’s in Transition (Russia, others of former Soviet Union, Eastern Europe)

• Annex B = Slight variation on Annex I
• Annex II = OECD, with special responsibilities
• Non-Annex I = Developing Countries
• G-77 & China = Coalition of developing nations
• AOSIS = Alliance of Small Island States
Convention-Protocol Process

- Negotiation of a general framework
  - Goals, obligations
  - Procedures, data reporting
  - Bureaucracy, funding
- Separate protocols (e.g., CFC-ozone problem)
  - Universal acceptance not essential
  - Add without re-ratification of underlying treaty
- Contrast to conventional treaty (e.g., Law of the Sea)
  - Universal and inclusive re. issues and participants
  - Requires consensus on comprehensive package
The Lure of a Comprehensive Architecture for Climate

• A global commons problem
  – So include all nations from the start

• Both rich and poor nations are important
  – So agree to base regime on “common but differentiated responsibilities”

• Many substances contribute to forcing
  – So include all gases in a common system

• Country cost differences will be inefficient
  – So introduce flexibility mechanisms
Structure of Institutions

UN General Assembly
Framework Convention on Climate Change
Conference of Parties
Subsidiary Bodies
Sec’y SBSTA SBI

GEF
UNDP UNEP WMO

World Bank

IPCC
FCCC Process to Kyoto

- Key Features of the FCCC (Rio 1992)
  - Article 2: GHGs stabilization to avoid “danger”
  - Article 4: Separate Annex I and Non-Annex I
  - Review of progress
    - “Aim” to return to 1990 emissions by 2000
- COP-1 in 1995 and the “Berlin Mandate”
  - Targets and timetables for cuts (1990 baseline)
  - Policies and measures
  - No discussion of Non-Annex I commitments
- The AGBM process to COP-3 (1997 in Kyoto)
Role of the Stabilization Goal

• FCCC Article 2: The ultimate objective of this Convention . . . is to achieve . . . stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.

• Issues
  – Does a threshold exist?
  – Can a level be agreed? Is it needed?
  – Role of review of “adequacy of commitments”
Sinks—Storage of carbon in forests (and soils)
  – Article 3.3: new projects since 1990
  – Article 3.4: pre-existing forests (“do nothing” sinks)
Allowance trading—parties in one Annex B country can buy allocated amounts from another (AAUs)
Supplementarity—restriction on use of purchased allowances to meet the Kyoto target
CDM (Clean Development Mechanism): credits for reductions in Non-Annex I countries (CERs)
JI (Joint Implementation): credits in Annex I (ERUs)
PAMs—Policies and measures
Hot air—allocation larger than forecast emissions
Components of Policy
- Targets and timetables
  - Stringency?
  - Differentiation?
- Policies and measures
- Emissions trading
  - Supplementarity
  - Russian “hot air”
- Land use & forests
- Accession of LDCs

Players & Coalitions
- European Union
- Japan
- United States
- Can., Aus., N.Z.
- Russia (& E. Europe)
- G-77 plus China
  - OPEC
  - AOSIS

Annex B
Components of a Comprehensive Architecture

UN General Assembly

Framework Convention on Climate Change

Conference of Parties

COP

MOP

2008
1st commitment period

2012
2nd commitment period

Kyoto Protocol

Tighten, Add LDCs

Ratif’n by 55%

Legal Force

Annex B (OECD, Econs. In Transition)

Non-Annex B (Developing Countries)

Treaty Bureaucracy
What was included
– National targets and timetables
– 1st commitment period (tightening later)
– Flexibility mechanisms (trading & CDM)
– Carbon sinks (new & existing)
– No Non-Annex B reductions (accession later)

Other approaches?
Problems with Kyoto

- Fixed, legally binding, short-term targets
  - Unrelated to economic growth along the way
  - Unknown cost
  - Unequal burdens

- Trading/sinks: artifact of premature targets
  - Imply large international financial flows
  - Damaging fight over carbon sinks

- Handling of developing countries
  - No discussion of how they might participate

- Seek US policy ahead of Congress
Now, Fragmentation

Framework Convention on Climate Change

Kyoto Protocol

(Annex B)

2005

Ratifying parties

U.S.

2008

Compliance details

Gradual movement (not Kyoto)

1st 2012

No CO₂ obligation

Tightening limited without U.S.

2nd

No internal pressure to commit

(Non-Annex B)

No CO₂ obligation

2005

Ratifying parties

U.S.

2008

Compliance details

Gradual movement (not Kyoto)

1st 2012

No CO₂ obligation

Tightening limited without U.S.

2nd

No internal pressure to commit
Path to Today’s Situation

• Nov. 2000: Negotiations collapse on details
  – Purchased reductions & “do nothing” sinks
• Mar. 2001: Bush rejects Kyoto
• Jul./Oct. 2001: Political deals (ex the US)
  – More sinks to Canada, Japan, Russia
  – Remove limit on purchased reductions
• Nov. 2005: Entry of Kyoto into force
  – Since 2005: the COP and MOP debate details
• Dec. 2007: Bali Declaration (March 5 class)
  – Instructions to negotiators: target in 11/09 COP
Lessons Learned the Hard Way

• A common view of international process
  (1) Agree on the structure for negotiations
  (2) Negotiate commitment levels & measures
  (3) Nations implement control measures

• For an issue like climate change the process begins the other way around
  – Nations only agree to a potentially costly commitment if confident they can meet it
  – Binding agreements follow (not lead) domestic commitment
Prospects for Achieving the 2008-12 Kyoto Targets

- Key parties
  - EU and its member countries
  - Japan
  - Canada
  - Australia & New Zealand

- Key developments
  - CDM
  - Russia trade
  - Economic growth
Efforts on Post-2012

- Within the FCCC
  - Seek incentives to save tropical forests
- Group of Eight (international summit)
- Bush meetings of large nations
- Bilateral negotiations
  - EU and China
  - US and many others (technical cooperation)
1. **Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion**
   World Meteorological Organization (WMO)
   United Nations Environment Program (UNEP)
   Vienna Convention (1985) for Protection of the Ozone Layer

2. **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change**
   (WMO-UNEP)
   Framework Convention on Climate Change
LEARNING FROM THE OZONE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Policy Process</th>
<th>Scientific Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Montreal Protocol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>London Adjustments and Amendment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Policy Process</td>
<td>Scientific Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Copenhagen Adjustments and Amendment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Vienna Adjustment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Montreal Adjustments and Amendment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Beijing Amendment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006</em>. WMO No. 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recognizing the problem of potential global climate change the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 1988. It is open to all members of the UNEP and WMO.

The role of the IPCC is to assess the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant for the understanding of the risk of human-induced climate change. It does not carry out new research nor does it monitor climate related data. It bases its assessment mainly on published and peer reviewed scientific technical literature.

***********

The IPCC has three working groups and a Task Force

- **Working Group I** assesses the scientific aspects of the climate system and climate change.

- **Working Group II** addresses the vulnerability of socio-economic and natural systems to climate change, negative and positive consequences of climate change, and options for adapting to it.

- **Working Group III** assesses options for limiting greenhouse gas emissions and otherwise mitigating climate change.

- **The Task Force** on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories oversees the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme.
List of Major IPCC Reports

Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis - Contribution of Working Group I to the IPCC Third Assessment Report
2001

Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability - Contribution of Working Group II to the IPCC Third Assessment Report
2001

Climate Change 2001: Mitigation - Contribution of Working Group III to the IPCC Third Assessment Report
2001

Climate Change 2001: IPCC Third Assessment Synthesis Report
2001

Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
2000

Emissions Scenarios - IPCC Special Report
2000

Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry - IPCC Special Report
2000

Methodological and Technological Issues in Technology Transfer - IPCC Special Report
2000

Aviation and the Global Atmosphere - IPCC Special Report
1999

The Regional Impacts of Climate Change: An Assessment of Vulnerability - IPCC Special Report
1998

Implications of Proposed CO\textsubscript{2} Emissions Limitations - IPCC Technical Paper IV
1997

Stabilization of Atmospheric Greenhouse Gases: Physical, Biological and Socio-Economic Implications - IPCC Technical Paper III
1997

An Introduction to Simple Climate Models used in the IPCC Second Assessment Report - IPCC Technical Paper II
1997

Technologies, Policies and Measures for Mitigating Climate Change - IPCC Technical Paper I
1996

1996

Climate Change 1995: Impacts, Adaptations and Mitigation of Climate Change: Scientific-Technical Analyses - Contribution of Working Group II to the IPCC Second Assessment Report
1996

Climate Change 1995: Economic and Social Dimensions of Climate Change - Contribution of Working Group III to the IPCC Second Assessment Report
1996

Climate Change 1995: IPCC Second Assessment Synthesis of Scientific-Technical Information Relevant to Interpreting Article 2 of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
1996

Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
1996

Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change and an Evaluation of the IPCC IS92 Emission Scenarios
1995

IPCC Technical Guidelines for Assessing Climate Change Impacts and Adaptations
1995

1992

Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Impacts Assessment - Report of the IPCC Impacts Assessment Working Group
1992

1992

Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment - Report of IPCC Working Group I
1990

Climate Change: The IPCC Impacts Assessment - Report of IPCC Working Group II
1990

Climate Change: The IPCC Response Strategies - Report of IPCC Working Group III
1990

Enquiries: IPCC Secretariat, c/o World Meteorological Organization, 7bis, Avenue de la Paix, Case Postale 2300, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland

http://www.ipcc.ch/
Courtesy of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Used with permission.
Global atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide have increased markedly as a result of human activities since 1750 and now far exceed pre-industrial values determined from ice cores spanning many thousands of years. The global increases in carbon dioxide concentration are due primarily to fossil fuel use and land-use change, while those of methane and nitrous oxide are primarily due to agriculture.

The understanding of anthropogenic warming and cooling influences on climate has improved since the Third Assessment Report (TAR), leading to very high confidence that the globally averaged net effect of human activities since 1750 has been one of warming, with a radiative forcing of +1.6 [+0.6 to +2.4] W m\(^{-2}\).
B. OBSERVATIONS OF RECENT CLIMATE CHANGE

- Warming of the climate system is unequivocal, as is now evident from observations of increases in global average air and ocean temperatures, widespread melting of snow and ice, and rising global mean sea level.

- At continental, regional, and ocean basin scales, numerous long-term changes in climate have been observed. These include changes in Arctic temperatures and ice, widespread changes in precipitation amounts, ocean salinity, wind patterns and aspects of extreme weather including droughts, heavy precipitation, heat waves and the intensity of tropical cyclones.

- Some aspects of climate have not been observed to change: Diurnal temperature range, Antarctic sea ice extent, Antarctic atmospheric temperatures, meridional overturning circulation of the global ocean, tornadoes, hail, lightning and dust storms.

- Paleoclimate information supports the interpretation that the warmth of the last half century is unusual in at least the previous 1300 years. The last time the polar regions were significantly warmer than present for an extended period (about 125,000 years ago), reductions in polar ice volume led to 4 to 6 metres of sea level rise.
Most of the observed increase in globally averaged temperatures since the mid-20th century is very likely due to the observed increase in anthropogenic greenhouse gas concentrations. Discernible human influences now extend to other aspects of climate, including ocean warming, continental-average temperatures, temperature extremes and wind patterns.

Analysis of climate models together with constraints from observations enables an assessed likely range (of 2 to 4.5°C) to be given for climate sensitivity for the first time, and provides increased confidence in the understanding of the climate system response to radiative forcing.
D. PROJECTIONS OF FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGE

- For the next two decades a warming of about 0.2°C per decade is projected for a range of SRES emission scenarios. Even if the concentrations of all greenhouse gases and aerosols had been kept constant at year 2000 levels, a further warming of about 0.1°C per decade would be expected.

- Continued greenhouse gas emissions at or above current rates would cause further warming and induce many changes in the global climate system during the 21st century that would very likely be larger than those observed during the 20th century. (Likely ranges are 2.4 to 6.4°C for a high emissions SRES scenario.)

- There is now higher confidence in projected patterns of warming and other regional-scale features, including changes in wind patterns, precipitation, and some aspects of extremes and of ice.

- Anthropogenic warming and sea level rise would continue for centuries due to the timescales associated with climate processes and feedbacks, even if greenhouse gas concentrations were to be stabilized.