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The Functions of Pay

- **External**
  - allocate across firms and occupations

- **Internal**
  - attract and maintain labor forces
  - allocate across occupations
  - motivate and align behavior
  - provide status
  - provide sense of equity
Key Market Ideas

- Reservation wage
- Compensating differentials
- Meaning of equilibrium
- Elasticity of demand curve
Why Market Model is Incomplete

- Adjustment is long and slow
  - imperfect information
  - barriers to mobility
  - social / institutional rigidity
- Role of Power
  - monopoly rents
  - unions
  - licensing
- Discrimination
Elements of Pay System

- Level relative to market
- Basis for pay
- Composition of pay package
Level of Pay

- Expected value over lifetime
- Influences ability to recruit and turnover
- Firms position selves differently relative to market
THE IMPACT OF PAY DISPERSION,
BASEBALL

1644 players, 1985-93
measures: batting performance, fielding
performance, pitching performance, team performance
explanatory variables: team pay dispersion, player rank in team pay hierarchy
Controls: past performance, age, years in league, measure of team talent

Source: Bloom, AMJ, 1999
BASEBALL (con’t)

1. Greater team dispersion reduces individual performance on average
   those at top of hierarchy perform better if team pay dispersed, those at bottom perform worse
2. Overall team performance reduced by greater pay dispersion
BASIS FOR PAY

- Internal Consistency
  - Job Analysis/Point System
  - Equity Issues
- External Competitiveness
  - Surveys
- Employee Characteristics
  - Seniority/Need/Performance
Composition of Pay Package

- Benefits relative to wages
  - impact upon composition of labor force
  - impact upon turnover
  - impact upon commitment
## BENEFITS

Percent of Compensation, 1997, Private Sector Establishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Size</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-99 employees</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-499</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500+</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics
Pay for Performance

To what unit is it applied?

where the link between action and performance is closest

individual (but leads to non-cooperation)

group (but problems of free-riding)

organization (free-riding plus impact of my actions are hard to see)
Pay for Performance

- Long-run or short-run measures
  - short-run creates perverse incentives
    - run from your mistakes
    - actions such as skimping R&D
  - long-run may be too far out to motivate
Pay for Performance

- How to control for external forces?
  - tournament model
  - forced distribution

- But
  - impact on cooperation
  - impact on fairness morale
Pay for Performance

- How much dispersion do you permit?
- As risk rises need to compensate by increasing level
- Need to be prepared to share information on which performance is judged
- Proper mixes of different schemes
PAY SCHEMES

- BONUSES/PROFIT SHARING
- PAY FOR SKILL
- GAIN-SHARING
BONUS/PROFIT SHARING

GOALS: IMPROVE INCENTIVES
AVOID INCREASING BASE
(control benefits)

ISSUES: ARE INCENTIVES STRONG?
FREE-RIDING
FAIRNESS/USE OF DISCRETION
PAY FOR SKILL

GOALS: PROVIDE INCENTIVES FOR SKILL ACQUISITION

ISSUES: TOPPING OUT PAY NOT RELATED TO ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE
GAINSHARING

EXAMPLES: SCANLON, RUCKER, IMPROSHARE

PROCEDURE: ESTABLISH A BASELINE FOR A WORKGROUP; REWARD IF EXCEED, REDUCE PAY IF FALL BELOW
GAINSHARING (con’t)

ISSUES: METRIC USED (e.g. a mixture of productivity, quality, profits)

ROLE OF TECHNICAL CHANGE, LUCK

DEGREE OF INFORMATION SHARING
Case Discussion

SAS Institute
The SAS Institute

- Founded in 1976, SAS Institute is now the 9th largest independent software company in the world, with revenues of $1.1 billion and 8,500 employees.
- It has achieved this with:
  - No long-term planning
  - No formal product strategy
  - No stock options
  - No performance appraisal
  - Free on-site health care
  - An artist-in-residence
The SAS Institute

“In an era of relentless pressure, this place is an oasis of calm. In an age of frenetic competition, this place is methodical and clearheaded. In a world of free agency, signing bonuses, and stock options, this is a place where loyalty matters more than money.”

Charles Fishman
Fast Company, January, 1999
SAS versus Other Software Houses

Based on your experience, what’s different about how SAS manages people and projects, compared to other software firms?

What differences in assumptions does this reflect?
The SAS Institute

“If you’re hiring creative people, you give them their head, you tell them that it’s all right to take chances and you mean it, [and] they will do their best.”

David Russo
VP of HR, SAS

Why doesn’t this lead to chaos?
Another impediment?

You may not share Goodnight’s values and assumptions.

If you don’t believe this can work, you can’t fake it.
Decisions about Compensation Systems

- How much emphasis to place on pay?
- Level of base pay?
- What’s included in the pay package (benefits, promotion, ownership)?
- Degree of pay dispersion?
  - Across levels
  - Across departments
  - Across individuals within departments and levels
- Basis for pay (Individual, group, organization)?
- Basis for measurement (tenure, skills, outcomes, etc.)?
Some Myths About Incentives

- “People work primarily for financial rewards.”
- “Other people are more motivated by incentives than I am.”
- “Individual monetary incentives are critical to motivate hard work and attract the right folks.”
- “The financial and legal aspects of ‘ownership’ are what align interests and motivate.”
- “Labor rates ≡ labor costs”
  ✤ It’s not what you pay but what you get that matters

Source: Pfeffer, Six Dangerous Myths About Pay
**Implications**

- Be careful and thoughtful about the attributions you make regarding other people’s motivations.
- Assuming that “agents” are driven by pay and need to be “controlled” can become a self-fulfilling prophecy.
- It’s very hard to “fake it,” so be clear about your own motivational assumptions before you begin designing control systems.