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- Planning as knowledge-to-action.
- Planning models, old and new
- Effectiveness of meetings you observed
  - What worked?
  - For whom?
  - Any missed opportunities?
- Thinking about improvements
  - Making judgments about situations
Planning as ...

Developing and applying KNOWLEDGE to ACTION
Not-so-obvious answers to these Qs:

- **Who “develops” knowledge** (recognizes, records, organizes for use)?
- **Who “applies” it**: traditional policymaking versus “distributed” learning (within and among organizations and others linked in networks)?
- **Who “acts”**: Citizens, interest groups, businesses, government, other?
## Planning models, OLD and NEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>NEW, emergent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central actor</strong></td>
<td>Government</td>
<td>Multiple (center-less network?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whose plans matter</strong></td>
<td>Government</td>
<td>Multiple knowledgeable actors whose support and capacity is relevant to acting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism for informing plans and implementation</strong></td>
<td>Expert assessment (modernist), then citizen input mechanisms (post 1960s)</td>
<td>Spaces for dialogue, Hearings and public comment too? Implementation alliances?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innes-Booher’s proposed labels</strong></td>
<td>“Public participation”</td>
<td>“Collaborative participation”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Improvement options

1. Leave an older “participation” mechanism in place, but fix it (who participates, why, how, with what feedback and use).

2. Replace an older mechanisms with something else (learning curve, break with past).

3. Supplement old with new, integrating the two (tough to do but “hedges our bets”).

4. Pursue multiple models—over time—according to what’s needed, level of support, capacity required to make a model work. Politically creative, demanding.