OK, today is about differential equations. That's where calculus really is applied. And these will be equations that describe growth. And the first you've already seen. It's the most important and the simplest. The growth rate $\frac{dy}{dt}$ is proportional to $y$ itself. Let's call $c$ that constant that comes in there. And all these problems will start from some known point $y$ at time 0 and evolve, grow, to $k$, whatever they do.

And this one we know the solution to. We know that that will be an exponential growth with a factor $c$, a growth rate $c$. Actually, we should know that the solution has an $e$ to the $ct$ because when we take the derivative of this, it will bring down that factor $c$ that we want. And at $t$ equals 0 this is correct. We get started correctly because at $t$ equals 0 we have $y$ of 0 is correctly given by the known starting point. Because when $t$ is 0, that's 1. So that's the one we know. The most fundamental.

Now, the next step. Still linear. That allows a source term. This might be money in the bank growing with an interest rate $c$ per year. And the source term would be additional money that you're constantly putting in. Every time step in goes that saving. Or if $s$ were negative, of course, it could be spending. For us, that's a linear differential equation with a constant right-hand side there. And we have to be able to solve it. And we can.

In fact, I can fit it into the one we already now. Watch this.

Let me take that to be $c$ times $y$ plus $s/c$. Remember, $s$ and $c$ are constants. And let me write that right-hand side, $\frac{dy}{dt}$. I can put this constant in there too and still have the same derivative. So $\frac{dy}{dt}$ is exactly the same as the derivative of $y$ plus $s/c$ because that $s/c$ is constant; its derivative is 0. So that $\frac{dy}{dt}$ is the same as before. But now look. We have this expression here that's just like this one, only it's $y$ plus $s/c$ that's growing at this growth rate $c$, starting from-- the start would be $y$ at 0 plus $s/c$. You see, this quantity in parentheses, I'm grabbing that as the thing that grows perfect exponentially. So I conclude that at a later time its value is its initial value times the growth. That is a rather quick solution to this differential equation.

You might want me to put $s/c$ on the right-hand side and have $y$ of $t$ by itself, and that would be a formula for the correct $y$ of $t$ that solves this equation that starts at $y$ of 0. And of course, this one starts at $y$ of 0 plus $s/c$ and that's why we see $y$ of 0 plus $s/c$ right there. Do you see that
that equation, well, it wasn't really systematic. And in a differential equations course you would learn how to reach that answer without sort of noticing that-- actually, I should do that too. This is such a useful, important equation. It's linear, but it's got some right-hand side there. I should give you a system, say something about linear equations before I go on.

The most interesting equation will be not linear, but let me say a word about linear equations of which that's a perfect example with a right-hand side. So linear equations.

Linear equations. The solutions to linear equations, y of t, is-- to all linear equations. Actually, this is a linear differential equation. When I'm teaching linear algebra I'm talking about matrix equations. The rule, it's the linear part that is important for these few thoughts. The solution to linear equations-- can I underline linear-- always has the form of some particular solution. Some function that does solve the equation plus another solution with a right side 0. You will see what I mean by those two parts for this example. Can I copy again this example dy dt is cy plus s. So I'm looking for a solution to that equation.

First, let me look for a particular solution. That means just any function that solves the equation. Well, the simplest function I could think of would be a constant function. And if my solution was a constant, then its derivative would be 0. So that would be 0. And then c times that constant plus s would be 0. I want the equation to be solved. I claim that a particular solution is the constant y-- so when I set that momentarily to 0, I'll discover I'll move the s over as minus s. I'll divide by the c and I'll have a minus s/c. That's a particular solution. Let's just plug it in.

If I put in y. That's a constant, so it's derivative is 0. And c times that gives me minus s plus s is the 0. OK. That's a particular solution.

And then what I mean by right side 0. What do I mean by that? I'm not going to wipe out all this, I'm going to wipe out-- for this right side 0 part, I'm going to wipe out the source term. I mean, I keep the y's, but throw away the source in the right side 0. Maybe a book might often write the homogeneous equation. And the homogeneous equation is where we started, dy dt equals cy.

What's the solution to that? The solution to dy dt is cy. This is this solution, e to the ct. Any a, any a times e to the ct will solve my simple equation. Once I've knocked out this s, then if y solves it, a times y solves it. I could multiply the equation by a. So a is arbitrary, any number. But of course, I'm going to find out what it is by starting at the right place.
By starting at y of 0, I'll find out what-- by setting t equals 0. So put t equals 0. To find a, put t equals 0. Because we know where we started. So we started at a known y of 0 equals minus \( s/c \) plus A. Setting t equals 0 makes that 1. You see we found out what A is. A is y of 0 plus s/c. And that's the thing that grows, that multiplies the e to the ct. And of course, it did it up here. Up here what multiplied the e to the ct was this y of 0 plus s/c, which is exactly our A.

As I said, my main point in this lecture is to bring in a nonlinear equation. That's quite interesting and important. And it comes in population growth, ecology, it appears a lot of places and I'll write it down immediately. But just the starting point is always linear. And here we've got the basic linear equation and now the basic linear equation with a source term.


OK, what's a reasonable differential equation that describes the growth of population? All right, so I'm interested in, what is a model? So this is actually where mathematics is applied. And many people will have models. And people who have a census can check those models and see, well, what are the constants? Does a good choice of constant make this model realistic over the last hundred years? Lots to do. Fantastic projects in this area.

If you're looking for a project, google "population growth." See what they say. Several sites will say-- Wikipedia, I did it this morning. I looked at Wikipedia. I'll tell you some things later about Wikipedia. And also, the Census Bureau has a site and they all know the population now pretty closely. Everybody would like to know what it's going to be in 50 years.

And to get 50 years out, you have to have some mathematical model. And I'm going to pick this differential equation. There's going to be a growth. That c would be sort of birth rate. Well, I guess birth rate minus death rate. So that would be the growth rate. And if we only had that term the population would grow exponentially forever.

There's going to be another term because that's not realistic for the population just to keep growing. As the earth fills up, there's some maybe competition term. Some slowdown term. So it's going to have a minus sign. And maybe s for a slow down factor. And I'm going to take P squared. That reflects sort of population interacting with population. There are many problems. This is also a model for problems in chemistry and biology, mass action it would be called in chemistry. Where I would have two different materials, two different substances, two different chemicals. And the interaction between the two would be proportional to the amount
of one and also proportional to the amount of the other. So it'll be proportional to the product. 
One concentration times the other.

Well, there I would have to have two equations for the two concentrations. Here I'm taking a 
more basic problem where I just have one unknown, the population P, and one equation for it. 
And it's P times P. It's the number of people meeting other people and crowding. So s is a very 
small number. Very small, like one over a billion or something.

Now, I want you to solve that equation. Or I want us to solve it. And we don't right now have 
great tools for nonlinear equations. We have more calculus to learn, but this one will give in if 
we do it right. And let me show you what the solution looks like because you don't want to miss 
that. We'll get into the formula. We'll make it as nice as possible, but the graph is great.

So the population. Well, notice, what would happen if the population starts out at 0? Nobody's 
around. Then the derivative is 0. It never leaves 0. So this 0 would be a solution. P equals 0 
constant solution. Not interesting.

There's another case, important case, when the derivative is 0. Suppose the derivative is 0. 
That means we're going to look for a particular very special solution that doesn't move. So if 
that derivative is 0, then cP equals sP squared. Can I do this in the corner?

If cP equals sP squared. And if I cancel a P, I get P is equal to c/s. So there's some solution. 
Can I call it that c/s? Well, everybody would like to know exactly what those numbers are. It's 
maybe about 10 billion people. So what I'm saying is if I got up to-- not me. If the world got up 
to 10 billion, then at that point, the growth and the competition would knock each other out, 
would cancel each other. There wouldn't be any further change. So that's a kind of point that 
we won't go past.

But now, let me draw the real solution because the real solution starts with-- who knows? Two 
people, Adam and Eve. 100 people, whatever. Whenever we start counting. This is time. You 
know, that's the time that we're starting. We could start today. This is time starting at some 
point where we know something. Well, we have pretty good numbers back for some hundreds 
of years. So probably it wouldn't be today we'd start. But wherever we start, we follow that 
equation.

Let me just draw the graph. It grows. It grows and then at a certain point, and it turns out to be 
the halfway point, c over 2s. It's beautiful calculus. It turns out that that's a point of inflection.
It's not only growing, it's growing faster and faster up to this time. I don't know when that is, but that's a very critical moment in the history of the world. I think we may be a little passed it actually. And after that point, the whole thing is just like symmetric. It's an s-shaped. It goes up and now slows down and gets closer and closer to this c/s steady state. I would call c/s a steady state. If it gets there it stays there steadily. It won't quite get there. This would be the s curve.

And if I went back in time, it would be going back, back, back to nearly 0. Probably to 2. And up here, but not quite there. That's the population curve that comes from this model. I can't say that's the population curve that's going to happen in the next thousand years because the model is a good start. But of course, you could add in more terms for epidemics, for wars, for migration. All sorts of things affect the model.

OK, now the math. The math says solve the equation. OK, how am I going to do that? Well, there are different ways, but here's a rather neat way.

It turns out that if I try $y$. Let me introduce $y$ as $1/P$, then the equation for $y$ is going to come out terrific. This is a trick that works for this equation. Nonlinear equations, it's OK to have a few tricks.

All right, so this is $y$ of $t$ is $1/P$ of $t$. So of course the graph of $y$ will be different and the equation for $y$ will be different. Let me say what that equation looks like.

OK, so I want to know, what's $dy/dt$? I know how to take the derivative. We get to use calculus. This is $P$ to the minus 1. So the derivative of $P$ to the minus 1 is minus 1. $P$ to the minus 2 times $dP/dt$. That's the chain rule. $P$ to the minus 1, the derivative is minus 1, $P$ to the minus 2, and the derivative of $P$ itself. And now I know $dP/dt$. Now I'm going to use my equation. So that's $cP$ minus $sP^2$ with a minus. Oh, I can't lose that minus. Put it there. Keep your eye on that minus. Divided by $P^2$.

So now I'll use the minus. That looks like an $s$ to me. Minus minus is plus $s$.

And what do I have here? Minus $cP$ over $P$ squared. I think I have minus $c/P$. And you say OK, true. But what good is that? But look, that $1/P$ is our $y$. So now I have $s$ minus $cy$. The equation for $y$, the $dy/dt$ equation turns out to be linear with a source term $s$ just as in the start of the lecture. And the growth rate term has a minus $c$, which we expect. Because our $y$ is now 1 over. When this growth is going up exponentially, 1 over it is going down exponentially. And it
turns out that same c.

In other words, we can solve this equation. In fact, we have solved this equation just for-- shall I write down the answer? So the answer for y. You remember the answer for y is-- I'm going to look over here. Up there. This is our equation, the only difference is c is coming in with a minus sign. So I'm just going to write that same solution, just copy that here. y of t. Now c is coming in with a minus sign, is y of 0 minus s/c. c has that minus sign. e to the minus ct. OK, good. That's the solution for y. So we solved the equation.

You could say, well, you solved the equation for y. But now P is just 1/y. Or y is 1/P. So I just go back now and change from y back to P. 1 over P of t is-- here I have 1 over P of 0.

Is it OK if I leave the solution in that form? I can solve for P of t and get it all-- you get P of t equals and a whole lot of stuff on the right side. I move this over. I have to flip it upside down. It doesn't look as nice. Well, I guess I could. I could move that over and then just put to the minus 1 power. That would do it. And that is the solution. Maybe I should do that.

P of t is-- I'm going to move this over. So this same parenthesis times e to the ct plus s/c. I moved that over. And then I say I have to flip it. So it's 1 over that. 1 over all that stuff, where this is just this stuff copied again. So we get an expression but to me, that top one looks pretty nice. And graphing it is no problem. And that's what the graph looks like. It's that famous s curve. What we've solved here was the population equation and it's often called the logistic equation. I mention that word because you could see it there as the heading for this particular model.

So let me let you leave open everything we've done today. But maybe I can say the most interesting aspect is the model itself. To what extent is it accurate? We could try it. We could estimate c and s, those numbers, to fit the model of what we know over one time period. And then we could see, does it extend over another time period?

I was going to say about Wikipedia. And generally, Wikipedia is not too bad. They made one goof, which I thought was awful. The question was, what's the growth rate? Actually, Wikipedia doesn't discuss this particular equation. It tells you a lot of other things about population. Of course, they talk about the growth rate and at one point they say at one time, it was 1.8%. I say 1.8% is not a growth rate. Growth rate, c, don't have-- they're not percentages. Their units are 1 over time. And maybe I could make that point, emphasize that point.
When I see \( ct \) together, that tells me that has the dimension of time, of course. So \( c \) must have the dimensions of \( 1 \) over time. The growth rate is 1.8% per year. And I will admit that three lines later on Wikipedia, when they're referring to an earlier growth rate earlier in the last century, they do say 2.2% per year. They get it right. The units are 1 over time. The solution is telling us that and the equation is telling us that. This has the dimensions of population, number of people. So does this. I'm dividing by a time. So \( c \) must be the source of that division by a time. The units of \( c \) must be 1 over time. You might like to figure out the units of \( s \) because in an equation, everything has to have the same units, the same dimension to make any sense at all.

So with that little comment, let me emphasize the interest in just-- if you have a project to do, this could be a quite remarkable one.

Now just I'll write down, but not solve-- since I have a little space and mathematicians tend to fill space-- I'll write down one more equation. Actually, it will be two equations because it's a predator and a prey. A hunter and a hunted. And in ecology, or modeling, whatever. Foxes and rabbits, maybe. We might have these equations, the predator and the prey equation. And what would that look like? So we have two unknowns.

The predator. Can I call that \( u \)? So I have a \( du \) \( dt \). What's the growth of the predators, the number or the population? These are both populations, but of two different species. And the prey will be \( v \). So \( u \) is the population of the predator.

If the predator has nothing to eat, the populations of predator is going to drop. But the more prey that's around-- so here we'll have a \( u \) times \( v \) going positive. So we'll have something like a minus \( cu \) as a term that if there's nothing to eat, if that's all there is, if the prey is all gone, the predator will die out. But when there is a predator, there will be a source term proportional to \( u \) and \( v \). The more predators there are, they're all eating away. And the more prey there is, the more they're eating.

And now what about \( dv \) \( dt \)? OK, \( v \) is a totally different position. I guess it's getting eaten. So this term, well, I'm not sure what all the constants are here. The prey, this is now the prey, the little rabbits. They're just eating grass. Plenty of grass around. So they're reproducing. So they have some positive growth rate, capital C. Multiple per year. But then they will have a negative term as we saw in population coming from the competition with a predator. So this would be a model, a predator-prey model that also shows up in many applications of mathematics. So
there you see two linear differential equations. The simple one $dy/dt$ we'll see $y$. We totally know how to solve that.

Now with a source term $s$, we're OK, and we got the solution there and we found it a second way. Then thirdly was the main interest, the population equation, the logistic equation with a $P$ squared, which we were able to solve and graph the solution. That's curve is just fantastic.

If you want a challenge, check that this-- so what do I mean at that inflection point? What's happening there? I claim that at this value of $P$, the curve stops bending up, starts bending down, which means second derivative of $P$ is 0. You could check that.

Take the equation, take its derivative to get the second derivative. Then that'll involve $dP/dts$ over here. But then you know $dP/dt$, so plug that in. And then finally, you'll get down to $P$'s. And I think you'll find that the thing cancels itself out. The second derivative, the bending in the $s$ curve, is 0 at $c$ over $2s$. So that's the moment of fastest growth. And maybe that happened relatively recently. And we're slowing down, but we've got a long way to go. So roughly, I think the population now is just under 7 billion.

And oh boy, if we just left-- if it was 7 billion at that point, it would be 14 billion at the end. I think we're a better further along now at 7 billion. 7 billion's somewhere about here. So maybe the end with this model is more like 10 or 11 billion as the population of the earth at $t$ equal infinity. OK, that's a mathematical model. Thank you.