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What Is a Project?

- For the planner (dreamer?):
  - A vision, a dream or a hope
  - A monument
  - A way to solve a problem
- For the construction company:
  - A specific task to be completed within a specific time
  - A way to make money through construction
- For the owner:
  - Potential benefits over the life of the project
  - A way to make money through operation
  - A monument
- For others:
  - Potential improvement in opportunities, environment, etc
  - Potential disruptions and degradation in environment
The Roman Empire was Held Together by Roads

Map showing network of roads throughout the Roman Empire. Image removed due to copyright restrictions.

Source: “The Builders, Marvels of Engineering”, National Geographic Society
The Roman roads are still visible – and often still used

Photographs removed due to copyright restrictions.

Roman Road, Lake District, England

Roman Road & Fort, Hardknott Pass, Lake District, England
Highway Programs: Early Toll Roads

• Early toll roads in U.S. circa 1800
  – No public capital
  – Authority to construct and operate toll road
  – Routes required to be as direct as possible

• Toll roads all failed
  – Railroads provided superior service
  – Tolls would no longer cover maintenance
  – Roads given back to public

The Scale of the Road Network Matches the Scale of Society and the Available Technology

Pedestrian Street in Bayeux, France, Unchanged for Hundreds of Years

Photograph removed due to copyright restrictions.
London Bridge

Expenses Supported by Income From Landholdings

Only Bridge Allowed Across Thames Near London

Residences and Stores on Bridge

Tolls

Draw Bridge

Extensive River Competition
Millennium Bridge, London

Photograph of the Millennium Bridge. Image removed due to copyright restrictions.
Rehabilitation & Maintenance: Reduce Costs of ROW & Operation

Photographs of maintenance operations. Images removed due to copyright restrictions.
Benefits of Improved Access

- Reduced transport costs for existing users
  - Lower transport expense ($ saved)
  - Less travel time (hrs saved \times \text{value of time})
  - Fewer accidents ($, injuries, fatalities avoided)

- Increased demand for transportation
  - Additional consumer surplus (difference between value of trip and cost of trip)

- Changes in economic geography
  - Increased land values and development potential
  - More location options for time and $ constraints
  - More options for trade (spatial price equilibrium)
Chesapeake Bay Bridge & Tunnel

- 17.6 mile bridge/tunnel
- Alternate to congested I-95
- Shortest route to and from Delmarva peninsula
- Seasonal access to excellent beaches
Background: Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel

- 1920s - various private ferry services
- 1930 - Chesapeake Bay Ferry Commission
  - Issued bonds to buy out private ferry companies
  - Established regular shuttle service
- 1955 - Lucius Kellam, member of the Commission pushed for permanent crossing
  - VA General Assembly approved concept, authorized study of bridges and tunnels
  - US Navy would not accept a bridge; 17.6 mile tunnel deemed too expensive; selected a combination
- 1960 - Commission became "Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel Commission
Financing: Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel

- $200 million raised from sale of bonds to build bridge
  - Three levels, with increasing interest rates
  - Annual financing costs approx. $13 million (30 years at 5%)
- Substantial tolls possible because of markets served ($10/auto, $60/truck)
  - Tolls averaged $20 million per year and were immediately able to cover bond interest payments
- Expansion also financed through tolls
  - Parallel Crossing - will eventually create a second two-lane bridge
  - Parallel Tunnels - after Parallel Crossing is completed
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Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel: Issues

- Threat to private ferry operators
  - Legislature created Commission with authority first to operate the ferry service and then to become the bridge commission
- Naval security - required more expensive approach
- Disruption of the Bay's ecosystem
  - The islands built for the tunnel exits became bird sanctuaries
- Capacity
  - The 2-lane facility is congested during peak periods
  - Pace of expansion is balanced against ability to finance through tolls
• Solving the security problem: a bridge with two tunnels
Projects May Be Needed to Improve Safety

- Poor sight distances
- Inadequate roadside clearances
- Steep grades
- Sharp curves

Photographs illustrating these problems were removed due to copyright restrictions.
How Do We Justify a Project?

- Is this project worthwhile?
  - Are the benefits greater than the costs?
- Is this the best way to achieve these benefits?
  - Can similar benefits be achieved more efficiently by some other approach?
- Is this the best place to allocate resources?
  - Do other projects have greater payoff?
  - Are other types of benefits more important?
What Does it Take to Implement a Project?

- Financing
  - Sources of funds sufficient for design and construction
- Government Approval
  - Land use regulations
  - Environmental regulations
  - Safety regulations
- Resources
  - People, with various skills
  - Materials
  - Energy
- Social Acceptance (or manageable opposition)
What Does it Take to Implement a Project?

• Financing
• Government Approval
• Resources
• Social Acceptance or Manageable Opposition

IT DOESN’T HAVE TO BE A GOOD PROJECT!!!
What Does it Take to Sustain a Project?

- Financing
  - Sufficient income to cover expenses
    - User fees, subsidies, contractual payments
  - Government approvals (inspections, licensing, etc)

- Engineering
  - Sufficient maintenance and renewal to perform at an acceptable level of service

- Resources
  - People and materials as required for maintenance and operations of infrastructure
  - As required by users of the project

- Public support (or tolerable opposition and interference)
Tolls: Social Issues Can Become Political Issues

- Will people be willing to pay tolls high enough to pay financing and other costs?
- Will they allow use of tolls for demand management?
- Will they allow private (or public) sector to make a profit?
Common Steps in Project Evaluation

- Identification of problems and establishing objectives
- Identification of major options
- Design
- Financial analysis
- Economic analysis
- Environmental impact assessment
- Public hearings
- Agency approvals
A Framework for Project Identification and Evaluation

1. Identifying the Need for a Project
2. Develop Project Options
3. Evaluate Options Analysis
   - Technical Assessment/Feasibility Studies
   - Market Demand Analysis
   - Costs and Benefits Analysis
   - Environmental/Social Assessment
   - Other
4. Evaluation Framework
   - Economic Assessment
   - Financial Assessment
   - Risk & Impact Assessment
5. Multi-Criteria Analysis
6. Ranking of Options
7. Final Decision
8. Implementation
9. Use/Operation
10. Maintenance/Monitoring

Feedback Loop:

Figure by MIT OCW.
1) Needs & Motivation for the Project or Program
- Mobility
- Safety
- Access
- User cost
Identifying the Need for a Project

Develop Project Options

Evaluation of Options Analysis

Technical Assessment/Feasibility Studies

Market Demand Analysis

Costs and Benefits Analysis

Environmental / Social Assessment

Other -------

Economic Assessment

Financial Assessment

Risk & Impact Assessment

Evaluation Framework

Ranking of Options

Final Decision

Implementation

Use/Operation

Maintenance/ Monitoring

FEEDBACK

2) Develop Options to Address these Needs

i) New Road
   - Tolls?
   - Routes?
   - Lanes?

ii) Existing Roads
   - Expand
   - Tolls

iii) Transit

Figure by MIT OCW.
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DEVELOP PROJECT OPTIONS

EVALUATION OF OPTIONS ANALYSIS
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PROJECT EVALUATION

3) Evaluate the Options
- Technical
- Demand
- Costs and Benefits
- Environmental
- Social
  - Safety
  - Neighborhood disruption

Strategy >> Program >> Project

Figure by MIT OCW.
4) Assess the Various Options
- Economic
- Financial
- Risks
- Distribution of Costs and Benefits
- Environmental
- Social

Figure by MIT OCW.
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5) Refine the Analysis
   a) New Statement of Needs
   b) New or Modified Alternatives
   c) Additional Analysis
   d) New Criteria for Ranking the Options

PROJECT EVALUATION

Strategy >> Program >> Project

FEEDBACK
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Figure by MIT OCW.

6) Select and Implement the Best Option

7) Monitor Performance
Identifying the Need for a Project

Develop Project Options
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Other -------

PROJECT EVALUATION
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8) Reconsider Strategies, Programs, Projects, and Needs

- Easier Approvals for Similar Projects
- Higher Construction Standards
- Consider Broader Needs (e.g. mobility, not highway capacity)
- Privatization
- Environmental Rules

FEEDBACK

Figure by MIT OCW.
Highway Programs in US: Early 20th Century

• State and Federal Highways
  – Supported by various taxes, fees and public money
  – Standards for construction and maintenance
  – Route numbers
  – Public responsibility for snow clearance, etc.
Limited Access Highways

- Long Island Motor Parkway
  - Initially a private road (1906-1912)
  - Opened to public as toll road in 1912
- New York Parkways
- Autobahn in Germany
- Pennsylvania Turnpike

*Individual roads, not a national system*
Interstate Highway System - Background

• Competing Visions
  – Limited access, high-speed, safe highway transportation funded by fees and taxes paid by users
  – Provision of infrastructure that would provide the transport benefits while enabling urban renewal and promoting economic development while minimizing impact on existing urban environments

• Other Issues
  – Tolls?
  – Aesthetics?
  – Construction standards?
  – INTER-city or to and through cities?
Interstate Highway System: Changes and Challenges

- Access to center city vs. destruction of neighborhoods
- Speed limits vs. safety and fuel consumption
- Truck size/weights: cost for freight vs. cost for highway and safety
- Public support for highways vs. public support for transportation
- Vehicle design and usage vs. impact of emissions on air quality & global warming
- Capacity constraints & congestion vs. narrowly defined transportation policy
Assessment of Road Projects
(Asian Development Bank Study)

• Adequate transport infrastructure is a necessary foundation for national economic growth and for most developing countries.
• The importance of the road sector far exceeds that of all other alternative transportation modes.
• Insufficient provision of budget for operation and maintenance has resulted in premature breakdown, sub-optimal operation, and loss of benefits of many completed road projects.
• What is needed to do better:
  – Local capabilities for building well-designed roads
  – Financing for maintenance equipment and operations
  – Accountability and management of road maintenance

Financial & Economic Issues

- Financing
  - Where does the money come from to cover the costs that are incurred?
  - What returns are necessary to attract capital?
  - How can we reduce life cycle costs?
  - How much money can we make?

- Economic
  - How will the project affect jobs, personal income, gross regional product, ... ?
  - How can we value non-monetary costs & benefits?
Financial & Economic Analysis

• Financial
  – Sources of capital
  – Cash Flows over the life of the project
  – Comparison to financial opportunities

• Economic
  – Macro-economic impact on region
  – Benefits & costs to the region

The Wall Street Journal

Help Wanted! For Sale!
Cash Flow of a Typical CEE Project
Evaluating a Time Stream of Monetary Costs & Benefits

- Key concepts:
  - Time value of money
  - Risk vs. required return
  - Project Life
  - Net Present Value
Time Value of Money

• $1 today is worth more than $1 next year. How much more depends upon opportunities that are available (and how much we want to “discount” future costs and benefits)

• If we invest in a government bond paying i% per year interest, then the money will grow to $1+i in one year and $1 * (1+i)^t after t years

• Likewise, $1 at the end of t years is equivalent to having $1/(1+i)^t today and investing the money in bonds paying i% interest.
Net Present Value (NPV)

The NPV (or “present worth”) is an estimate of the current value of future net benefits:

Given:

- Future Value \( (t) = B(t) - C(t) \)
- Discount Rate = \( i \)

Then

\[ NPV(t) = \frac{(B(t) - C(t))}{(1 + i)^t} \text{ after } t \text{ years} \]

\[ NPV(\text{project}) = \sum (B(t) - C(t))/(1 + i)^t \]
Meaning of NPV

- **NPV > 0**
  - This project is better than making an investment at i% per year for the life of the project
  - This project is worth further consideration

- **NPV < 0**
  - This project does not provide enough financial benefits to justify investment, since alternative investments are available that will earn i% (that is the meaning of "opportunity cost")
  - The project will need additional, possibly non-cash benefits to be justified
## NPV of $100 Received at Time t

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 Yrs</th>
<th>10 Yrs</th>
<th>20 Yrs</th>
<th>50 Yrs</th>
<th>100 Yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.000072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00000001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Importance of the Discount Rate

- Very low rates favor large projects with distant benefits
  - *Using very low discount rates may lead a country to undertake massive projects while ignoring current needs*

- Very high rates favor staged investments with quick payback
  - *Using very high discount rates may prevent a country from ever undertaking large infrastructure investments*
Other Ways to Evaluate Cash Flows

- Benefit/Cost Ratios
  - \( \frac{\text{NPV(Benefits)}}{\text{NPV(Costs)}} \)
  - Commonly used in public policy analyses
- Equivalent Uniform Annual Benefits, Costs, or Net Benefits
  - Useful when considering annual performance
- Internal Rate of Return (IRR)
  - Very common in private sector
- Payback Period
  - How many years to recoup my investment? (A rather unsatisfactory approach that may be useful for quick assessment of some projects)
Reduce all costs and benefits to time 0
Compute the equivalent time stream of costs and benefits over the life of the project using standard formulas or spreadsheet commands:
- PMT(NPV, interest, # periods)
- Be careful whether cash flows occur at the beginning or the end of the period
Cash Flows, NPV, and Equivalent Uniform Annual Net Benefits
Calculating the Internal Rate of Return

Choose discount rate such that the NPV = 0

NPV(i\%)  
EUANB(i\%)

NPV(IRR)  
EUANB(IRR)
If the cash flows switch signs more than once, there could be two or more IRR for which \( \text{NPV(IRR)} = 0 \).

This method assumes that all intermediate cash flows can be discounted/reinvested at the IRR.

- This is unrealistic when the IRR is very high.
- The private sector uses this method very commonly despite these problems.
Choosing A Discount Rate

- The discount rate reflects the opportunity cost for the person or organization that will receive the cash flows (e.g. the federal government specifies a rate to be used)
- The analysis can be done with real or nominal discount rates
  - Real rates are used in constant-dollar analyses
  - Nominal rates reflect expected inflation (market interest rates are therefore "nominal" interest rates)
- The discount rate is not the same as the interest rate obtained to finance the project
- Higher risks will require a higher discount rate
  - Project risks (e.g. can we build this on budget and on schedule?)
  - Market risks (e.g. will the market for real estate remain strong?)
  - Economy risks (e.g. will there be a recession?)
  - Country risks (e.g. will the government remain stable and supportive of new infrastructure projects?)
What is an Appropriate Discount Rate?

Risk vs. Expected Return

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Type</th>
<th>Risk (0)</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.15</th>
<th>0.20</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gov. Bond</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA Bonds</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Bonds</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Chips</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Stocks</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerg. Markets</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is an Appropriate Discount Rate? Risk vs. Returns for YOUR Opportunities

![Bar Chart]

Optimistic vs. Pessimistic

- Sub
- Small
- Large
- Developer
- Foreign
WHOSE Discount Rate?

• Investors
  – Compare risks and returns of investing in your project to their other options (THEIR perceptions of risks & opportunities)
  – WHO gets paid HOW MUCH and WHEN and HOW do they get paid?

• Developers
  – Compare risks and returns of YOUR options (YOUR perceptions of risks & opportunities)
  – How to reduce actual and perceived risks; how to share risks and potential rewards among participants and investors
What is an Appropriate Project Life?

- Projects need to be evaluated over a reasonable project life (and the economic life will be shorter than physical life)
- Because of discounting, the "out years" do not add much to the NPV
  - Using a 10% discount rate, $100 in 25 years is worth less than $10 today
- Risks increase with time
  - Competing or supplementary facilities or services (e.g. highways reduce demand for railways)
  - Changes in demand (e.g. suburbanization)
  - Changes in factor prices (e.g. fuel)
Are There Alternatives For Achieving the Objectives of this Project?

- The NPV analysis only shows that a project can be justified relative to the discount rate that is used.
- There may be other projects that are even better for achieving the same objectives:
  - Better materials & technologies to build the same facility
  - Different design for a structure to serve the same purpose
  - Different location for a similar structure
  - Different scale (larger or smaller)
- In general, you cannot prove that your design is the best, you can only defend and refine (or abandon) your design in response to other options.
Can We Justify this Project Against Competing Projects?

- In principle, any project with NPV > 0 is worth pursuing.
- In practice, capital budgets are limited, so that choices must be made:
  - *What set of projects gives the greatest benefits from using the available resources?*
- Common approach in private sector: Hurdle rate of return:
  - Rank independent projects by rate of return:
  - Choose projects (or sets of projects) with highest return subject to a budget constraint
Selecting Projects Based Upon a Hurdle Rate of Return

![Graph showing the relationship between investment and rate of return, with a hurdle rate and budget constraint indicated.]
Broader Economic, Social, and Environmental Issues

- Prices of resources may not reflect their true costs
  - Local rather than world rates for energy costs
  - Natural resources priced at extraction cost rather than at market cost
  - Opportunity cost of land may be omitted (build the highway through the park)
  - Government may require use of excess labor as a public policy

- Generational equity
  - Discounting of future costs and benefits may lead to long-term decline in the environment
  - "Worry about today and the future will take care of itself"
Broader Economic, Social, and Environmental Issues (Continued)

- Distributional Equity
  - Costs and benefits will be unevenly distributed
  - If total benefits exceed total costs, there is at least a possibility of compensating the losers
  - Pareto optimality - some are better off and none are worse off (after compensation)
  - "No one is hurt" (a very strong constraint on development)

- Regional Economic Impact
  - Multiplier effect of project expenditures on the local economy
  - Use of local labor & resources

- Non-financial Externalities
  - Many impacts - both positive and negative - may be left out of the cash flow analysis
  - Environmental impacts & need for remediation
Broader Economic, Social and Environmental Issues - Conclusions

- For any large project, there will be additional costs & benefits that must be considered in addition to the cash flows directly related to the project.
- Some of these costs and benefits cannot readily be reduced to monetary measures.
- Distribution of costs & benefits will be a concern.
- In some cases, the non-quantifiable items will be the most important items to consider.
The Project Cycle

Country Strategies/Programs/Projects

Evaluation

Implementation and Design

Preparation & Design

Identification

Appraisal

Negotiation and Board

Implementation and Completion

Figure by MIT OCW.

What Route? What Technology? Where to Place Stations? Noise Abatement?

Photograph of a Japanese city, showing roads, businesses, and residences in a densely developed space. Image removed due to copyright restrictions.
Dealing with Multiple Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>Capacity Increase</th>
<th>New Jobs</th>
<th>Decline in Air Quality</th>
<th>Land Required</th>
<th>Effects on Congestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>500 acres</td>
<td>Much more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>200 acres</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>250 acres</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>100 acres</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dealing with Multiple Attributes

- There may be a clear winner, but unless one option is the best in all categories, it is impossible to say it is the best overall.
- Weighting schemes may help, but the weights themselves are inherently a value judgement.
- Selection of the best project in complicated cases will be a political issue rather than an economic issue.
Dealing with Multiple Attributes: What Can An Engineer Do to Help?

- Clarify and quantify costs and benefits
  - Highly vocal objections may be based upon false assumptions - analysis can reduce these objections
  - Some objections may be perfectly true - but minor in the overall context of the project
- Conduct an incremental assessment of costs and benefits
  - The best project may be a larger or smaller version of the project under consideration
  - Staging may help to reduce initial costs and allow some benefits to be achieved earlier
- Consider options for ameliorating negative impacts
  - Minor additional investment
  - Somewhat broader scope for the project
- If there are major concerns, structure a political process for reviewing options, costs, benefits, and major decisions
If the objective can be quantified, but not in monetary terms, we can calculate the cost effectiveness of various options.

- What is the cost per unit improvement in the objective for each alternative?
- Even if we cannot put a value on the improvement, we know that it is good to
  - Minimize the cost per unit of improvement
  - Maximize the improvement per unit of cost

How much to spend per unit of improvement becomes a political issue.
Financing a Project

- The investor provides money for the project in return for a share of the benefits
  - Debt: low interest rate if cash flows are believed to be very secure
    - Comparison of debt payments to expected net cash flow
    - Could be based upon the credit of the owner rather than the quality of the project
  - Equity
    - Depends upon the expected cash flows after debt payments (including subsidies)
    - The higher the debt payments, the greater the risk
- Who bears the risks is a key concern for the owner, the contractor & sub-contractors, and the investors
Financial Feasibility vs. Project Desirability

- These two concepts are very different
  - Can we get money from someone to build the project?
  - Should we build the project?
- Financing restrictions may preclude certain highly desirable projects, yet encourage other clearly undesirable projects
- Engineers have some responsibility for pursuing desirable projects that can be financed
  - Proper presentation of estimated costs and benefits
  - Consideration and presentation of alternatives to the proposed project
Finances Are Important, but They Aren't Everything

- Environmental Impact Assessment
  - Understand the expected impacts of the major alternatives on the environment

- Sustainability
  - Can (or should?) this project (or this program) be sustained indefinitely?
  - Three sets of concerns
    - Financial/economic
    - Social
    - Environmental