The Quabbin Reservoir is one of the largest man-made bodies of water in the world, located Western Massachusetts. Its creation can be traced back to 1922, although only until 1927 does the Swift River Act legally establish it. In 1936, after cleanups of the area, legal disputes and the disintegration of the four local towns, Enfield, Greenwich, Dana and Prescott, construction on the project began. The water of the Swift River first began to flood the area in late 1939, and by 1946, the reservoir was filled.

As a primer on the region, two sources stand out. Thomas Conuel’s *Quabbin: The Accidental Wilderness* provides a quick and apparently unbiased account of the water body’s creation in the first chapter, as well as a summarized history of the Swift River Valley in the 19th century in chapter 2. It also features many photographs of the town before and after the reservoir. *Quabbin Facts and Figures* by Friends of Quabbin, Inc and the Metropolitan District Commission (M.D.C.) includes a concise chronology of the events leading to the creation of the reservoir, and detailed statistics on the cost and performance of the water supply. In also includes a striking three-picture comparison, featuring the exact same panorama in 1927, 1939 and 1987, which first shows a small rural town, then a meticulously razed terrain, then a calm lake vista.

As for argumentation material, there are many ways of addressing the issue of pro and con. We can trace the history of the exploitation of water resources that eventually lead to the inundation of the Quabbin area, and for that purpose, Nesson’s *Great Waters: A History of Boston’s Water Supply* is essential reading. Firstly, it contains a clear map of the water resources Boston and the surrounding areas depend on. The body of the text
is concerned with the westward expansion of the water supply. Of particular interest are chapters 3 (Quabbin) and 4 (Water and Social Engineering), pgs. 36-86. The first one examines the legal process by which Quabbin was chosen as a reservoir site, as proposed by Goodnough, and discusses the alternative proposals by Hazen and Freeman. Nesson’s essential thesis is that the main factor in selecting Quabbin was opposition for filtration of alternative sources. The book also has useful biographies of the main personalities behind the engineering effort. Almost uniquely, this book phrases the Quabbin issue exclusively in a political framework, and discusses it mainly from the point of view of Boston citizens, paying sporadic homage to the protests of the Quabbin towns.

J. R. Greene, in The Creation of Quabbin Reservoir: The Death of the Swift River Valley, provides a similar exposition, though in more detailed and with less oversimplification. The important segment of the book is Part III: The Water Fight, pgs 25—57. In them, Greene works through the proposals that suggested the creation of the Quabbin in 1922, and the resulting political unrest. The source is essential for understanding the popular psyche at the time. It also has background information on the contemporary political arena. One recurring theme in Greene’s work is people suing the state for unjust compensation, instances of which are not hard to come by. Relevant court cases include Albert E. Beaman v. Commonwealth (unfair exclusion in indemnification, rejected), Franklin A. Snow Company vs. Commonwealth (contractor defrauded by Commonwealth, damages awarded) and The Arthur A. Johnson Corporation vs. Commonwealth (misrepresentation of facts by Commonwealth, rejected).

Greene has also authored other important works on the Quabbin reservoir. His compilation, A Bibliography of Quabbin Valley History, stands as the definitive guide to
the primary sources. Concretely, pages 19—22 list the relevant Massachusetts legislation, from the Goodnough report of January 1922, to the Ware River Act of 1926 and the Swift River Act of 1927, as well as the intervening legal debates. Pages 29—38 enumerates a wealth of technical publications on the subject, many by the original proponents of the projects; though comprehensive, these sources are hard to obtain due to their age and, sometimes, obscurity of the journals.

Greene’s *The Day Four Quabbin Towns Died* is useful in reconstructing the emotional sensitivities of the Quabbin community around the time of the oft-mentioned Enfield ball of April 27, 1938, but is otherwise of little value when examining the pros and cons of Quabbin’s construction in a larger context. Nonetheless, Appendix I in pages 77—83 includes the full text of the disincorporation law that removed Dana, Enfield, Greenwich and Prescott from the map.

His *An Atlas of the Quabbin and Ware River Diversion* provides a quick reference of useful facts about the local populations (including town sizes, listed every 10 years from 1810 to 1940). More importantly, though, it clearly demarcates Greene’s position on the issue: he calls the reservoir “a prime example of the policy of the state government to view Western Massachusetts as a place to exploit for the advantage of the Boston area.” It is thus evident Greene is against the creation of the reservoir.

An interesting contrast to Greene’s people-centric accounts is glimpsed at by looking at a New York Times article, “Big Reservoir Dam Begun in Bay State,” 23 May, 1937. It emphasizes the engineering technicalities of the project, while barely noting the disappearance of the valley’s towns. It’s symbolic of urban views on Quabbin.
These works are primarily concerned with contemporary views of the reservoir. Most other sources focus on its long-term effect, particularly its present important as a wilderness sanctuary. Conuel’s *Quabbin: The Accidental Wilderness* is chief among the sources outlining this point of view. He describes the Quabbin bald eagle conservation project, the establishment of recreational fishing (both in chapter 3), the presence of rare fauna like mountain lions and coyotes, and the forest management projects underway by the M.D.C. (chapter 4). It also has many wildlife photographs by Jack Swedberg, the head of the bald eagle project. The New York Times feature “Wood, Field and Stream” repeatedly reports on excellent fishing experiences at Quabbin, emphasizing its recreational use: “Quabbin”, by Nelson Bryant, 11 Jun 1967 and “Quabbin a Happy Hunting, Fishing Area for Massachusetts Sportsmen” by John W. Randolph, 5 Jun 1956, are representative selections. Also in the New York Times, “Symbol of Wilds’ Return Flies in Massachusetts,” by Anne Driscoll, 21 Aug 1988, reports on the bald eagle conservation project. “Reservoir Spills Over Into Recreation Field”, published 11 Jun 1967, discusses the opening up of Quabbin to hikers, travelers and fishermen; it emphasizes the beauty of the wilderness. The Worcester Telegram and Gazette ran “Quabbin Strengths, Problems Outlined” on 26 Aug 1997, a more extensive review of fishing and wildlife preservation at the reservoir. It also talks about opposition to recent proposals to expand the use of the reservoir and the filtration of other water sources.

Other newspaper articles have reflections of former residents. In general, the lack of specificity makes these sources less valuable, but they give a general sense of local perceptions. “A Half Century of Nostalgia for 4 Submerged Towns” in the New York Times of 27 Dec 1987 reports on a ball to be in 1988 to commemorate the 50 years since
the Enfield ball, and local reaction to both events. “Resident Recalls drowned villages” by Lori Stabile, in The Republican, 27 Oct 2003, and “Ex-residents recall sacrifice to Quabbin” in the Boston Herald, 16 July 2000, offer more local testimony of the events.

Still other articles try to refresh the conflict spawned by Quabbin. “Recalling the towns drowned for Boston” in the Boston Globe, 29 Nov 1992, is one example. Former residents characterize Quabbin as a symbol of Boston “taking what it wants”, and the article notes the towns near Quabbin have no access to its water.

Other sources offer more first-hand accounts. David Howe’s Quabbin: The Lost Valley is regularly cited as an extensive (650+ pages) compilation of local testimonies and reactions to the reservoir; however, I was unable to obtain it myself in time for this essay (it is available at the Boston Public Library, Boston College Library and Harvard, among others). The Friends of Quabbin Inc. website (www.foquabbin.org) features modern-day reflections. The archive of newsletters of the organization, published 2-4 times a year and going back to May 2001, is very useful. It exhibits resentment by many of the displaced Quabbin natives and their descendents. For example, the Oct 2003 newsletter reads “The people of the Valley did not give up their homes to provide a playground for pleasure seekers.” The editorial on Dec 2002 shows bitterness for what is long gone. J. R. Greene appears regularly in the newsletter.

Overall, arguments for and against Quabbin can be divided into two categories: those dealing with its creation, and the urban-rural conflict that emerged and still lingers today, and those about its current ecological importance as a wildlife sanctuary. This essay has sketched out the sources that would be most useful in pursuing these lines of argument further.
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