Review Assignment #3

Due Wednesday, December 9, 2009

Four recent research articles have been made available on the course website:

**Group A: Experimentally-oriented articles:**

1. Krug et al., “Core–shell nanoscale precipitates in Al–0.06 at.% Sc microalloyed with Tb, Ho, Tm or Lu”, Acta Materialia, v58 p134, 2010


**Group B: Simulation-oriented articles:**


**3.14 students: Select one article from the above four, submit one document**

**3.40 students: Select one article from each of the two groups, A & B, submit two documents**

After selecting an article, read it carefully, and think critically about what you have read. You will then prepare a short review of the article, in about 2 pages. About the first third of your review should be a synopsis of the paper, inclusive of methods and main results. The remainder of the review should offer a critique of the paper, and present some creative thoughts for future questions to be addressed. For example, some things to discuss may include:

- Does anything in this paper contradict the “textbook” knowledge that you are learning in class?
- Alternatively, does this paper significantly add to our understanding of something to the point where we should add this new knowledge to our textbook?
- Are the methods used in the work sufficient to support the conclusions drawn by the authors?
- Is the logic internally consistent? Do all of the data support the same conclusion?
- Can you suggest a better way to resolve one or more of the open questions in this work?
- Is there a simple experiment that can either refute or substantially support the authors’ claims?
- How general are the conclusions of this paper; are these results to be expected for other metals or materials?
- What doors does this work open for future research?
- What doors does this work open for industrial development or usage of metals?